Developing Environmental Protocols and Modeling Tools to Support Ocean Renewable Energy and Stewardship

Jennifer McCann
Coastal Resources Center
University of Rhode Island
220 South Ferry Road
Narragansett, RI 02882-1156
Phone: (401) 874-6127 FAX: (401) 874-6920 E-mail: mccann@gso.uri.edu

Award Number: M10PC00097
http://seagrant.gso.uri.edu/coast/nopp.html

LONG-TERM GOALS

The long term goals of this project are to develop and test standardized protocols for baseline studies and monitoring for the collection and comparison of scientifically valid and comparable data for specific offshore renewable energy issues that seamlessly integrate with a newly designed conceptual framework and approach for cumulative environmental impact evaluation of offshore renewable energy development.

OBJECTIVES

The scientific and technical objectives of this project are to develop standardized protocols for baseline studies and monitoring for the potential environmental effects of offshore renewable energy projects. These protocols will enable the collection and comparison of scientifically valid and comparable data across project types and regions resulting in a better understanding of the potential effects of offshore renewable energy development. The resulting protocols can then be used to specify the requirements for monitoring placed on project developers. A secondary objective of the project is to develop a conceptual framework and approach for cumulative environmental impact evaluation of offshore renewable energy development, as part of a larger framework for a site evaluation tool for decision makers. We will also test the baseline and monitoring protocols using the environmental valuation model developed as a tool for cumulative environmental impact evaluation.

APPROACH AND WORK PLAN

In separate short paragraphs, Please: 1) describe your proposed scientific and/or technical approach including data quality requirements as applicable, 2) identify the key individuals participating in this work at your own or other organizations and the roles they play and 3) describe your work plans for the upcoming year (if applicable).

1) Our approach to developing a suite of protocols involves, first, conducting a thorough literature review of: a) existing protocols used to monitor offshore renewable energy projects in Europe, and of protocols used for other types of offshore development projects; b) monitoring protocols used in other research; c) potential environmental effects discussed in the literature. Secondly, we are using the results of this inquiry to develop a set of monitoring objectives, and developing monitoring protocols and techniques based on these objectives according to the appropriate spatial and temporal scales. To develop the cumulative environmental impact

evaluation framework, we have developed an ecological valuation index for the waters off Rhode Island as an example and prototype, based on existing data collected as part of the process of developing the Ocean SAMP. We will then model the effects of offshore renewable energy development and other anthropogenic activities within the same area to evaluate how the ecological resources are affected by multiple types of development.

2) The individuals responsible for working on this project are as follows:

Management Team:
Jennifer McCann, URI Coastal Resources Center/Rhode Island Sea Grant (Program Manager)
Dr. John King, URI Graduate School of Oceanography
Dr. Peter Paton, URI, Department of Natural Resources Science
Dr. Deborah French-McCay, Applied Sciences Associates, Inc.
Dr. Malcolm Spaulding, URI, Department of Ocean Engineering

Topic Leads:
Dr. John King and Dr. Emily Shumchenia, URI Graduate School of Oceanography - Ecological Classification
Dr. Peter Paton, URI, Department of Natural Resources Science - Avian Species
Dr. Sarah Smith, URI Coastal Resources Center/Rhode Island Sea Grant – Fisheries
Dr. Malia Schwartz, URI Office of Research - Sea Turtles
Dr. Robert Kenney, URI Graduate School of Oceanography – Marine Mammals
Dr. Rod Mather, URI Department of History – Cultural Resources
Michelle Carnevale, URI Coastal Resources Center/Rhode Island Sea Grant – Potential Effects of Offshore Renewable Energy

In addition, we have a large Project Advisory Committee made up of experts in the various topic areas from academia, federal government agencies, and the private sector. The Project Advisory Committee extensively reviews and comments on any products developed as a part of this undertaking.

3) In the upcoming year, we will be developing the standardized protocols for monitoring and baseline data collection based on the research conducted during the first year of the project. This will include further refining our monitoring objectives, refining the monitoring protocols, and developing a decision tree to be used by regulators and developers to select from a suite of possible methodologies. We will also be completing the cumulative impact evaluation framework by refining the ecological valuation model, and will use this as a means of testing the monitoring protocols through

WORK COMPLETED

In a paragraph, please describe the actual tasks completed or technical accomplishments.

During the first year, we produced three reports that serve as project deliverables under Objective 1 of the project, to develop and test standardized protocols for baseline studies and monitoring for the collection and comparison of scientifically valid and comparable data for specific offshore renewable energy issues. The first of these reports, entitled *Report on Monitoring the Potential Effects of Offshore Renewable Energy*, describes and categorizes the potential effects of offshore renewable energy projects based on findings in the literature. We have identified and categorized the level of consequence and certainty of each potential effect, and determined which of these effects most warrant future monitoring. The second of these reports, *A Comprehensive Review and Critique: Existing U.S and International Monitoring Protocols for Offshore Renewable Energy Development and Other Marine Construction*, is a summary of existing monitoring protocols and practices used to monitor environmental effects of offshore renewable energy development to benthic habitat and resources, fisheries, marine mammals, sea turtles, and avian species. The protocols summarized include those used in offshore renewable energy projects and other types of marine construction activities, both within the United States and around the world. This report provides the URI team with the framework to develop standardized monitoring protocols for offshore renewable energy projects in the United States that allow for the collection and analysis of scientifically valid and comparable data. Together, these two reports will provide the basis for developing the monitoring protocols in Year 2. One additional deliverable in Year 1 was the development of the Archaeological Sensitivity Analysis along with the Cultural Landscape Approach framework, two methodologies created for finding and monitoring archaeological resources. As part of Objective 2 of this project we have also outlined an overall Siting Evaluation Model that considers both ecological values and socio-economic (human) uses, and integrated various ecological data inputs into an Ecological Value Model (EVM) considering multiple levels of organization and ecological categories (e.g., birds, fish, benthic ecosystem).

RESULTS

As concisely as possible, please describe meaningful scientific and/or technical results achieved in the report fiscal year. Make the significance clear. Emphasize what was learned, not what was done. This should be a short summary of significant results and conclusions. If you include figures, please include the caption in the report text and not as part of the picture or graphic. This is necessary to meet accessibility requirements.

The results of the report on the potential effects of offshore renewable energy found a number of potential effects that should be monitored in the future as part of any planned development, and for which monitoring protocols will be developed in Year 2 of the project. These potential effects are listed in Table 1 below.

Table 1. Potential effects of offshore renewable energy, categorized by species and project scale.

Benthic Habitat and Resources	
Scale 1 (Demonstration Scale)	• Scour around device • Changes in median grain size, or organic content • Turbidity during construction/decommissioning • Change in target species abundance and distribution (e.g, species of importance) • Colonization density, composition of communities on foundations

Scale 2 (Commercial Scale)	• Changes to seafloor morphology and structure (compared to pre-construction) • Changes in median grain size, or organic content • Turbidity during construction/decommissioning • Change in target species abundance and distribution (e.g, species of importance) • Change in density, diversity, dominance structure of infauna • Colonization density, composition of communities on foundations • Current speed/direction inside and outside farm
Scale 3 (Multiple Commercial Facilities in a Region)	• Changes to seafloor morphology and structure (compared to pre-construction) • Changes in median grain size, or organic content • Change in target species abundance and distribution (e.g., species of importance) • Change in density, diversity, dominance structure of infauna • Hydrodynamics inside and outside farms throughout region
Fish	
Scale 1	• Reef effects • Blade strikes (tidal power)
Scale 2	• Reef effects • Changes to abundance/distribution • Installation noise effects (for devices requiring pile driving) • Operational noise effects • EMF effects • Blade strikes / pressure gradients (tidal power)
Scale 3	• Reef effects • Changes to abundance/distribution and community composition on regional scale • Installation noise effects (for devices requiring pile driving) • Operational noise effects • EMF effects • Blade strikes / pressure gradients (tidal power)
Fisheries	
Scale 1	• Loss of access to grounds
Scale 2	• Catchability during construction • Catchability during operation • Loss of access to grounds • Changes in species distribution • Reef effects (aggregation)
Scale 3	• Catchability during construction • Catchability during operation • Loss of access to grounds • Changes in species distribution • Reef effects (aggregation)
Avian	
Scale 1	• Vessel strikes causing chemical spill • Displacement/ attraction • Barrier effects – effects on foraging, roosting, migratory movements • Collision mortality
Scale 2	• Vessel strikes causing chemical spill • Displacement/ attraction • Barrier effects – effects on foraging, roosting, migratory movements • Collision mortality
Scale 3	• Vessel strikes causing chemical spill • Displacement/ attraction • Barrier effects – effects on foraging, roosting, migratory movements • Collision mortality

Marine Mammals and Sea Turtles	
Scale 1	• Vessel strikes • Noise generated during all stages of development • Disturbance or injury during all stages of development • Changes in distribution or migratory routes
Scale 2	• Vessel strikes • Noise generated during all stages of development • Disturbance or injury during all stages of development • Changes in distribution or migratory routes
Scale 3	• Vessel strikes • Noise generated during all stages of development • Disturbance or injury during all stages of development • Changes in distribution or migratory routes • Changes in life history and demographics

Overall, the literature review of existing monitoring protocols conducted in Year 1 found that, while many types of monitoring protocols exist and are currently employed, there are few standards for monitoring within any of these subject areas. While there is considerably more documentation of offshore wind energy projects than marine hydrokinetic projects, because there have been many more offshore wind energy projects developed within the last decade, monitoring data for any offshore renewable energy project are sparse. Within Europe, despite the proliferation of offshore wind facilities, most monitoring for effects does not follow any recognized standard, and there is little consistency in the data collected at each site. Existing monitoring practices are also inconsistent between countries. Within the United States, most other offshore development industries, including the offshore oil and gas industry, do not have standardized protocols for monitoring the effects of these activities. Many other potential effects of offshore activities, such as the effects of noise, or the disturbance caused by the installation of a device, appear to be monitored inconsistently if at all.

The results of the third product from Year 1 are, first, a two-tier approach to geophysical survey, instrumentation and survey resolution for identifying cultural and archaeological resources present within a survey area, and second, the outline of a Cultural Landscape Approach for identifying and evaluating the potential effects of ocean renewable energy siting on marine cultural heritage resources. In addition, this report provides the framework and a case study for the use of Archaeological Sensitivity Analysis, a method for analyzing the likelihood of finding marine cultural heritage resources within a given area.

IMPACT AND APPLICATIONS

Quality of Life

In a few sentences, what is the potential future impact on Quality of Life, e.g., public and ecosystem health, coastal resource management?

The results of this project thus far have been to identify those potential effects of offshore renewable energy that are likely to be most significant and that warrant further study, as well as to identify methodologies by which these effects can be monitored. The potential future impact of this study on ecosystem health and coastal resource management is to provide for standardized and better monitoring of these effects, so that they can be better detected and understood, as well as providing data that can be comparable across regions. This will result in offshore renewable energy development projects that can better account for these effects in the siting and development processes to reduce or mitigate potential environmental effects to our coastal ecosystems. Standardized and scientifically sound monitoring protocols will also allow for a more efficient permitting process, resulting in more renewable energy sources providing for the energy needs of the United States.

TRANSITIONS

Quality of Life

In a few sentences, please describe the transitions related to Quality of Life, e.g., public and ecosystem health, coastal resource management.

The results of this project are being used to design a monitoring plan for the eventual development of a small-scale wind farm projected to be constructed in Rhode Island state waters in 2014.

RELATED PROJECTS

Please identify closely related projects and briefly describe the nature of each relationship. (Include web links as appropriate/available).

At present, the URI Coastal Resources Center, including many members of the NOPP project team, are involved in an effort to implement the Rhode Island Ocean Special Area Management Plan, or Ocean SAMP, a marine spatial plan created for Rhode Island's offshore waters, with the goal, among others of siting an offshore wind farm. The Ocean SAMP implementation project includes collecting baseline data within an offshore area identified as the Area of Mutual Interest for wind energy development between Rhode Island and Massachusetts. The protocols being developed by this NOPP project complement this data collection endeavor, and the data collected are feeding into testing these protocols.

Additionally, the protocols being developed by the NOPP will use a common language and format compatible with NOAA's Coastal and Marine Ecological Classification System (CMECS). Using a unified classification scheme can focus data collection, streamline data interpretation and integration, and produce data layers in a format useful for calculation of the Ecological Value Index (EVI). The NOAA CMECS group and NatureServe are partners in this work.