

**FIGURES**

<b>Figure 1.1</b>	<b>Location of Humber Gateway Offshore Wind Farm Site and Onshore Cable Route</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>Figure 6.25</b>	<b>Monopile on Board Transport Vessel</b>	<b>72</b>
<b>Figure 2.1</b>	<b>Electricity Generation from Renewable Sources</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>Figure 6.26</b>	<b>Mast and Nacelle on Board Transport Vessel</b>	<b>72</b>
<b>Figure 3.1</b>	<b>Humber Gateway Consents and Licences Framework</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>Figure 6.27</b>	<b>Monopile Under Tow</b>	<b>73</b>
<b>Figure 4.1</b>	<b>Cumulative and In-Combination Assessment</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>Figure 6.28</b>	<b>Monopile Being Driven Into the Seabed</b>	<b>74</b>
<b>Figure 5.1</b>	<b>The Greater Wash SEA Area</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>Figure 6.29</b>	<b>Installation Sequence</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>Figure 5.2</b>	<b>Alternative Sites Considered</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>Figure 6.30</b>	<b>Sea Stallion Plough at North Hoyle Beach</b>	<b>78</b>
<b>Figure 5.3</b>	<b>The Crown Estate Agreement</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>Figure 6.31</b>	<b>Typical Jetting Equipment</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>Figure 5.4</b>	<b>Evolution of Site Boundary</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>Figure 6.32</b>	<b>Cable Trenching Machine</b>	<b>80</b>
<b>Figure 5.5</b>	<b>Landfall Options</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>Figure 6.33</b>	<b>Example Drilling Rig</b>	<b>81</b>
<b>Figure 5.6</b>	<b>Export Cable Survey Corridor</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>Figure 6.34</b>	<b>Two Typical Construction Port Views</b>	<b>87</b>
<b>Figure 5.7</b>	<b>Cable Route and Landfall Point Refined by EIA</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>Figure 6.35</b>	<b>Indicative Construction Programme</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>Figure 6.1</b>	<b>Humber Gateway Site Location</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>Figure 6.36</b>	<b>Typical Service Vessel</b>	<b>91</b>
<b>Figure 6.2</b>	<b>Layout 1 - Indicative Site Layout</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>Figure 6.37</b>	<b>Method of Gaining Access for Maintenance Personnel</b>	<b>92</b>
<b>Figure 6.3</b>	<b>Layout 2 - 3.6 MW Monopile Foundation</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>Figure 7.1</b>	<b>Location of Project Specific Surveys</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>Figure 6.4</b>	<b>Layout 3 - 5 MW Monopile Foundation</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>Figure 7.2</b>	<b>Bathymetric Features and Study Areas</b>	<b>99</b>
<b>Figure 6.5</b>	<b>Layout 4 - 5 MW Gravity Base Foundation</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>Figure 7.3</b>	<b>Wind Rose for the Study Area</b>	<b>101</b>
<b>Figure 6.6</b>	<b>Layout 5 - 7 MW Gravity Base Foundation</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>Figure 7.4</b>	<b>Met Office Visibility Data for Weybourne</b>	<b>101</b>
<b>Figure 6.7</b>	<b>Key Stakeholder Constraints</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>Figure 7.5</b>	<b>Wave Rose from Dowsing Wavenet</b>	<b>105</b>
<b>Figure 6.8</b>	<b>Example of a Turbine Nacelle</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>Figure 7.6</b>	<b>Wave Rose from Met Office Wave Data</b>	<b>105</b>
<b>Figure 6.9</b>	<b>Indicative Turbine Specification</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>Figure 7.7</b>	<b>Baseline Wave Conditions</b>	<b>106</b>
<b>Figure 6.10</b>	<b>Typical Monopile Foundation</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>Figure 7.8</b>	<b>Wave Rose from Nortek AWAC</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>Figure 6.11</b>	<b>Concrete Gravity Illustration</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>Figure 7.9</b>	<b>Nortek AWAC Wave Scatter Diagram</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>Figure 6.12</b>	<b>Tripod Concept Foundation</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>Figure 7.10</b>	<b>Comparison of Metocean Data (Nortek AWAC/Dowsing)</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>Figure 6.13</b>	<b>Transition Piece being Lowered onto a Monopile</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>Figure 7.11</b>	<b>Current Direction for Spring Tide</b>	<b>111</b>
<b>Figure 6.14</b>	<b>Possible Layout of Offshore Substation</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>Figure 7.12</b>	<b>Indicative Snapshot of Seabed Bathymetry</b>	<b>112</b>
<b>Figure 6.15</b>	<b>Example of an Offshore Electrical Substation</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>Figure 7.13</b>	<b>Gravel Ridges Observed during the Seabed Survey</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>Figure 6.16</b>	<b>Possible Substation Locations</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>Figure 7.14</b>	<b>Sand Waves Observed during the Seabed Survey</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>Figure 6.17</b>	<b>Typical Undersea Cable</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>Figure 7.15</b>	<b>Seabed Features and Obstructions</b>	<b>115</b>
<b>Figure 6.18</b>	<b>Example of inter-array cable routes (83 x 3.6 MW layout)</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>Figure 7.16</b>	<b>Sediment Characteristics (IECS Survey)</b>	<b>117</b>
<b>Figure 6.19</b>	<b>Example of inter-array cable routes (7 x 42 MW layout)</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>Figure 7.17</b>	<b>Sediment Transport Pathways</b>	<b>118</b>
<b>Figure 6.20</b>	<b>Diagram of 132 kV 3-Core Copper / XLPE Cable</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>Figure 7.18</b>	<b>Sandwaves and Sediment Transport</b>	<b>119</b>
<b>Figure 6.21</b>	<b>Preferred Horizontal Directional Drilling Alignment</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>Figure 7.19</b>	<b>Landsat Image of Suspended Sediment Plume</b>	<b>120</b>
<b>Figure 6.22</b>	<b>Indicative Drawing of Met Mast</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>Figure 7.20</b>	<b>Bed Sediment Composition</b>	<b>121</b>
<b>Figure 6.23</b>	<b>Location of Met Mast</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>Figure 7.21</b>	<b>Average Erosion Rates along the Holderness Coast</b>	<b>124</b>
<b>Figure 6.24</b>	<b>Monopile and Transition Piece on Board Transport Vessel</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>Figure 7.22</b>	<b>Monitoring Post Locations along the Easington Coast</b>	<b>125</b>
			<b>Figure 8.1</b>	<b>Designated Sites</b>	<b>131</b>
			<b>Figure 8.2</b>	<b>Typical Intertidal Habitat</b>	<b>135</b>
			<b>Figure 8.3</b>	<b>Typical View of Cliff Erosion</b>	<b>135</b>
			<b>Figure 8.4</b>	<b>Coastal Protection and Mixed Sediments (upper shore)</b>	<b>136</b>
			<b>Figure 8.5</b>	<b>Intertidal Sampling Sites</b>	<b>136</b>
			<b>Figure 8.6</b>	<b>Subtidal Benthic Sampling Stations</b>	<b>140</b>
			<b>Figure 8.7</b>	<b>Beam Trawl Sites</b>	<b>140</b>
			<b>Figure 8.8</b>	<b>Locations of Diver Survey Points</b>	<b>141</b>

<b>Figure 8.9</b>	<b><i>Biotores Identified at Benthic Sample Sites</i></b>	<b>143</b>	<b>Figure 8.45</b>	<b><i>Mew (Common Gull) Boat Survey: Survey Area 2 (Winter October - March)</i></b>	<b>209</b>
<b>Figure 8.10</b>	<b><i>Examples of Benthic Species from Video Footage</i></b>	<b>144</b>	<b>Figure 8.46</b>	<b><i>Mew (Common Gull) Aerial Survey: Survey Areas 1 and 2 (October 2003 – September 2005)</i></b>	<b>210</b>
<b>Figure 8.11</b>	<b><i>Cluster Analysis of Species Abundance</i></b>	<b>145</b>	<b>Figure 8.47</b>	<b><i>Great Black-backed Gull Boat Survey: Survey Area 2 (Winter November - February)</i></b>	<b>212</b>
<b>Figure 8.12</b>	<b><i>Groups of Samples with 40% Community Similarity</i></b>	<b>146</b>	<b>Figure 8.48</b>	<b><i>Great Black-backed Gull Aerial Survey: Survey Areas 1 and 2 (Winter November - February)</i></b>	<b>213</b>
<b>Figure 8.13</b>	<b><i>MDS Ordination Plot for Replicate Grab Data</i></b>	<b>147</b>	<b>Figure 8.49</b>	<b><i>Great Black-backed Gull Aerial Survey: Survey Areas 1 and 2 (Autumn September - October)</i></b>	<b>214</b>
<b>Figure 8.14</b>	<b><i>Length Frequency Analysis for Lobster</i></b>	<b>148</b>	<b>Figure 8.50</b>	<b><i>Great Black-backed Gull Aerial Survey: Survey Areas 1 and 2 (Breeding Season May - August)</i></b>	<b>215</b>
<b>Figure 8.15</b>	<b><i>Intertidal <i>S. alveolata</i> and Subtidal <i>S. spinulosa</i></i></b>	<b>151</b>	<b>Figure 8.51</b>	<b><i>Black-legged Kittiwake Boat Survey: Survey Area 2 (Breeding Season April – July 2004 and 2005)</i></b>	<b>216</b>
<b>Figure 8.16</b>	<b><i>Distribution of <i>Sabellaria alveolata</i></i></b>	<b>152</b>	<b>Figure 8.52</b>	<b><i>Black-legged Kittiwake Aerial Survey: Survey Areas 1 and 2 (Breeding Season April - July)</i></b>	<b>217</b>
<b>Figure 8.17</b>	<b><i>Distribution of <i>Sabellaria spinulosa</i></i></b>	<b>153</b>	<b>Figure 8.53</b>	<b><i>Black-legged Kittiwake Aerial Survey: Survey Areas 1 and 2 (Post Breeding Season August - October)</i></b>	<b>218</b>
<b>Figure 8.18</b>	<b><i>Examples of <i>Sabellaria</i> from Drop Down Video Survey</i></b>	<b>154</b>	<b>Figure 8.54</b>	<b><i>Tern Species Boat Survey: Survey Area 2 (June 2004 – December 2005)</i></b>	<b>220</b>
<b>Figure 8.19</b>	<b><i>Trammel Net Locations (2007 Sea Bass Survey)</i></b>	<b>158</b>	<b>Figure 8.55</b>	<b><i>Tern Species Aerial Survey: Survey Areas 1 and 2 (October 2003 - September 2005)</i></b>	<b>221</b>
<b>Figure 8.20</b>	<b><i>Nursery Areas of Important Species</i></b>	<b>162</b>	<b>Figure 8.56</b>	<b><i>Common Guillemot Boat Survey: Survey Area 2 (Winter November - February)</i></b>	<b>223</b>
<b>Figure 8.21</b>	<b><i>Abundance of Cod Captured (Trammel Net Survey)</i></b>	<b>163</b>	<b>Figure 8.57</b>	<b><i>Common Guillemot Boat Survey: Survey Area 2 (Pre-breeding March and April)</i></b>	<b>224</b>
<b>Figure 8.22</b>	<b><i>Abundance of Herring Larvae in Central North Sea</i></b>	<b>164</b>	<b>Figure 8.58</b>	<b><i>Common Guillemot Boat Survey: Survey Area 2 (Breeding May and June)</i></b>	<b>225</b>
<b>Figure 8.23</b>	<b><i>Herring Spawning and Nursery Grounds in the North Sea</i></b>	<b>164</b>	<b>Figure 8.59</b>	<b><i>Common Guillemot Boat Survey: Survey Area 2 (Post Breeding July - October)</i></b>	<b>226</b>
<b>Figure 8.24</b>	<b><i>Areas Identified as Potential Spawning Grounds</i></b>	<b>167</b>	<b>Figure 8.60</b>	<b><i>Razorbill Boat Survey: Survey Area 2 (Post Breeding July - October)</i></b>	<b>228</b>
<b>Figure 8.25</b>	<b><i>Size Range of Bass (March 2007 Trammel Net Survey)</i></b>	<b>168</b>	<b>Figure 8.61</b>	<b><i>Auks Aerial Survey: Survey Areas 1 and 2 (Breeding May and June)</i></b>	<b>229</b>
<b>Figure 8.26</b>	<b><i>Age Range of Bass (March 2007 Trammel Net Survey)</i></b>	<b>168</b>	<b>Figure 8.62</b>	<b><i>Auks Aerial Survey: Survey Areas 1 and 2 (Post Breeding July - October)</i></b>	<b>230</b>
<b>Figure 8.27</b>	<b><i>Abundance of Sea Bass</i></b>	<b>169</b>	<b>Figure 8.63</b>	<b><i>Auks Aerial Survey: Survey Areas 1 and 2 (Winter November - February)</i></b>	<b>231</b>
<b>Figure 8.28</b>	<b><i>Nursery Areas of Important Species</i></b>	<b>170</b>	<b>Figure 8.64</b>	<b><i>Auks Aerial Survey: Survey Areas 1 and 2 (Pre Breeding March and April)</i></b>	<b>232</b>
<b>Figure 8.29</b>	<b><i>Cetacean Sightings in the Southern North Sea</i></b>	<b>176</b>	<b>Figure 8.65</b>	<b><i>Typical Noise Plot</i></b>	<b>240</b>
<b>Figure 8.30</b>	<b><i>Harbour Porpoise Sightings</i></b>	<b>177</b>	<b>Figure 9.1</b>	<b><i>Landscape Designations</i></b>	<b>247</b>
<b>Figure 8.31</b>	<b><i>Grey Seal Distribution</i></b>	<b>179</b>	<b>Figure 9.2</b>	<b><i>National Landscape Character Areas</i></b>	<b>248</b>
<b>Figure 8.32</b>	<b><i>Grey Seal Sightings</i></b>	<b>180</b>	<b>Figure 9.3</b>	<b><i>National Seascape Units</i></b>	<b>253</b>
<b>Figure 8.33</b>	<b><i>Common Seal Distribution</i></b>	<b>181</b>			
<b>Figure 8.34</b>	<b><i>Survey Area - Aerial Surveys</i></b>	<b>187</b>			
<b>Figure 8.35</b>	<b><i>Survey Area – Boat-based Surveys</i></b>	<b>188</b>			
<b>Figure 8.36</b>	<b><i>Red-throated Diver Boat Survey: Area 2 (June 2004 – December 2005)</i></b>	<b>194</b>			
<b>Figure 8.37</b>	<b><i>Red-throated Diver Aerial Survey Areas: 1 and 2 (October 2003 – December 2005)</i></b>	<b>195</b>			
<b>Figure 8.38</b>	<b><i>Northern Fulmar Aerial Survey: Survey Areas 1 and 2 (Breeding Season May to August (2004 and 2005))</i></b>	<b>197</b>			
<b>Figure 8.39</b>	<b><i>Manx Shearwater Boat Survey: Survey Areas 1 and 2 (June 2004 – December 2005)</i></b>	<b>198</b>			
<b>Figure 8.40</b>	<b><i>Manx Shearwater Aerial Survey: Survey Areas 1 and 2 (October 2003 – December 2005)</i></b>	<b>199</b>			
<b>Figure 8.41</b>	<b><i>Northern Gannet Aerial Survey: Survey Areas 1 and 2 (Breeding Season – May to August)</i></b>	<b>201</b>			
<b>Figure 8.42</b>	<b><i>Northern Gannet Aerial Survey: Survey Areas 1 and 2 (Post Breeding September and October)</i></b>	<b>202</b>			
<b>Figure 8.43</b>	<b><i>Little Gull Dedicated Boat Survey: (October 2005)</i></b>	<b>206</b>			
<b>Figure 8.44</b>	<b><i>Little Gull Aerial Survey: Survey Areas 1 and 2 (Autumn Passage July - October)</i></b>	<b>207</b>			

<b>Figure 9.4</b>	<b>Regional Seascape Units</b>	<b>255</b>	<b>Figure 12.7</b>	<b>Viewpoint 2, Tunstall Caravan Park</b>	<b>430</b>
<b>Figure 9.5</b>	<b>Viewpoints</b>	<b>261</b>	<b>Figure 12.8</b>	<b>Viewpoint 3, Withernsea Seafront</b>	<b>431</b>
<b>Figure 9.6</b>	<b>Wrecks, Seabed Anomalies and No Build Zones</b>	<b>272</b>	<b>Figure 12.9</b>	<b>Viewpoint 3, Withernsea Seafront, Multi-Layout</b>	<b>432</b>
<b>Figure 9.7</b>	<b>North Eastern Sea Fisheries Committee District</b>	<b>279</b>	<b>Figure 12.10</b>	<b>Viewpoint 4, Old Newton Road, near Holmpton</b>	<b>433</b>
<b>Figure 9.8</b>	<b>Defra Sightings of Foreign Fishing Vessels</b>	<b>282</b>	<b>Figure 12.11</b>	<b>Viewpoint 5, Patrington</b>	<b>434</b>
<b>Figure 9.9</b>	<b>Total Reported Shellfish Landings in NESFC Area 4</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>Figure 12.12</b>	<b>Viewpoint 6, Gas terminal North of Easington</b>	<b>435</b>
<b>Figure 9.10</b>	<b>Defra Fishing Vessel Observations by Gear Type</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>Figure 12.13</b>	<b>Viewpoint 7, Caravan Park South of Easington</b>	<b>436</b>
<b>Figure 9.11</b>	<b>Distribution of Fishing Vessels 2000 to 2007</b>	<b>288</b>	<b>Figure 12.14</b>	<b>Viewpoint 8, Spurn Point Visitor Centre</b>	<b>437</b>
<b>Figure 9.12</b>	<b>Distribution of Fishing Vessels 2000 to 2007</b>	<b>289</b>	<b>Figure 12.15</b>	<b>Viewpoint 8, Spurn Point Visitor Centre, Multi-Layout</b>	<b>438</b>
<b>Figure 9.13</b>	<b>Distribution of Fishing Vessels 2000 to 2007</b>	<b>290</b>	<b>Figure 12.16</b>	<b>Viewpoint 9, Lifeboat Station, Spurn Point</b>	<b>439</b>
<b>Figure 9.14</b>	<b>Distribution of Fishing Vessels 2000 to 2007</b>	<b>291</b>	<b>Figure 12.17</b>	<b>Viewpoint 10, Coastal Path near Immingham</b>	<b>440</b>
<b>Figure 9.15</b>	<b>Ports Relative to Humber Gateway Offshore Wind Farm</b>	<b>296</b>	<b>Figure 12.18</b>	<b>Viewpoint 11, Lincolnshire Wolds AONB, Walk Farm</b>	<b>441</b>
<b>Figure 9.16</b>	<b>Key Navigational Features</b>	<b>297</b>	<b>Figure 12.19</b>	<b>Viewpoint 12, Lincolnshire Wolds AONB, Ashby Hill</b>	<b>442</b>
<b>Figure 9.17</b>	<b>ABP Visibility Probability, Spurn Point</b>	<b>398</b>	<b>Figure 12.20</b>	<b>Viewpoint 13, Ross Castle, Cleethorpes</b>	<b>443</b>
<b>Figure 9.18</b>	<b>Chart Overview of Radar and AIS Survey Tracks</b>	<b>399</b>	<b>Figure 12.21</b>	<b>Viewpoint 14, Discovery Centre, Cleethorpes</b>	<b>444</b>
<b>Figure 9.19</b>	<b>Tracks Recorded on 22 April 2005 – Busiest Day</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>Figure 12.22</b>	<b>Viewpoint 15, Car Park at haile Sand Fort</b>	<b>445</b>
<b>Figure 9.20</b>	<b>Chart of Tracks Relative to Humber Gateway Site</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>Figure 12.23</b>	<b>Viewpoint 15, Car Park at haile Sand Fort, Multi-Layout</b>	<b>446</b>
<b>Figure 9.21</b>	<b>Vessel Density Map Based on 2007 Survey</b>	<b>301</b>	<b>Figure 12.24</b>	<b>Viewpoint 16, Car park at Horse Shoe Point</b>	<b>447</b>
<b>Figure 9.22</b>	<b>Dredging Areas and Indicative Routes</b>	<b>301</b>	<b>Figure 12.25</b>	<b>Viewpoint 17, Donna Nook</b>	<b>448</b>
<b>Figure 9.23</b>	<b>Dredger Tracks Recorded in February 2007 Survey</b>	<b>302</b>	<b>Figure 12.26</b>	<b>Viewpoint 18, Seaward Edge of Fulstow</b>	<b>449</b>
<b>Figure 9.24</b>	<b>Recreational Information for Humber Area</b>	<b>303</b>	<b>Figure 12.27</b>	<b>Viewpoint 19, Saltfleet</b>	<b>450</b>
<b>Figure 9.25</b>	<b>Recreational Vessels Tracked during Main Survey</b>	<b>303</b>	<b>Figure 12.28</b>	<b>Viewpoint 20, Saltfleetby, Theddlethorpe Dunes</b>	<b>451</b>
<b>Figure 9.26</b>	<b>MAIB Incident Locations by Type</b>	<b>305</b>	<b>Figure 12.29</b>	<b>Viewpoint 21, View from Ferry</b>	<b>452</b>
<b>Figure 9.27</b>	<b>Incidents by Casualty Type</b>	<b>306</b>	<b>Figure 12.30</b>	<b>Landscape Designations with ZVI</b>	<b>453</b>
<b>Figure 9.28</b>	<b>ABP Humber, Incidents by Event Type</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>Figure 12.31</b>	<b>1 nm Buffer Zone (3.6 MW Gravity Base)</b>	<b>481</b>
<b>Figure 9.29</b>	<b>ABP Humber, General Locations of Selected Events</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>Figure 12.32</b>	<b>Proposed Markings (3.6 MW Gravity Base)</b>	<b>482</b>
<b>Figure 9.30</b>	<b>Main Helicopter Routes to and from Humberside</b>	<b>309</b>	<b>Figure 12.33</b>	<b>Methodology for Navigation Risk Assessment</b>	<b>483</b>
<b>Figure 10.1</b>	<b>Suspended Sediment Plume during Monopile Installation</b>	<b>323</b>	<b>Figure 12.34</b>	<b>Risk Matrix</b>	<b>484</b>
<b>Figure 10.2</b>	<b>Current Speed Changes throughout the Tidal Cycle</b>	<b>329</b>	<b>Figure 12.35</b>	<b>AIS Tracks by Direction through TSS</b>	<b>484</b>
<b>Figure 10.3</b>	<b>Changes in Significant Wave Height at High Water</b>	<b>329</b>	<b>Figure 12.36</b>	<b>Daily Ships through Northeast Approaches TSS Lanes</b>	<b>485</b>
<b>Figure 10.4</b>	<b>Change in Bed Thickness Following Monopile Installation</b>	<b>331</b>	<b>Figure 12.37</b>	<b>Tracks of North / South Shipping Intersecting Site</b>	<b>485</b>
<b>Figure 10.5</b>	<b>Change in Bed Thickness Following the Cable Installation</b>	<b>331</b>	<b>Figure 12.38</b>	<b>Ships at Anchor during Updated Survey</b>	<b>486</b>
<b>Figure 10.6</b>	<b>Location of Cross-Shore Profiles</b>	<b>334</b>	<b>Figure 12.39</b>	<b>Anticipated Track of North / South Ship</b>	<b>486</b>
<b>Figure 11.1</b>	<b>Sediment Deposition from Construction of a Gravity Base</b>	<b>349</b>	<b>Figure 12.40</b>	<b>Exposure Time versus Distance (Northbound Ship)</b>	<b>487</b>
<b>Figure 11.2</b>	<b>Behavioural Impact Range Estimates (Fish)</b>	<b>359</b>	<b>Figure 12.41</b>	<b>Anticipated Re-routing of Ships</b>	<b>487</b>
<b>Figure 11.3</b>	<b>Behavioural Impact Range Estimates (Marine Mammals)</b>	<b>375</b>	<b>Figure 12.42</b>	<b>Dredging Areas Relative to Indicative Turbine Layout</b>	<b>488</b>
<b>Figure 12.1</b>	<b>Reference Water Levels and Terms Used</b>	<b>406</b>	<b>Figure 12.43</b>	<b>Hazard Ranking Results</b>	<b>491</b>
<b>Figure 12.2</b>	<b>Tip ZVI for Humber Gateway 3.6 MW (Layout 2)</b>	<b>425</b>	<b>Figure 12.44</b>	<b>Summary of Results</b>	<b>492</b>
<b>Figure 12.3</b>	<b>Tip ZVI for Humber Gateway 5 MW (Layout 4)</b>	<b>426</b>	<b>Figure 12.45</b>	<b>Example of Pre-MTI and Post-MTI RCS Data</b>	<b>499</b>
<b>Figure 12.4</b>	<b>Tip ZVI for Humber Gateway 7 MW (Layout 5)</b>	<b>427</b>	<b>Figure 12.46</b>	<b>Example Terrain Propagation Calculation</b>	<b>499</b>
<b>Figure 12.5</b>	<b>Hub ZVI for Humber Gateway 5 MW (Layout 4)</b>	<b>428</b>	<b>Figure 12.47</b>	<b>Helicopter Routes Potentially Affected</b>	<b>501</b>
<b>Figure 12.6</b>	<b>Viewpoint 1, Seaward Edge of Roos</b>	<b>429</b>	<b>Figure 12.48</b>	<b>Power Loss due to Turbine Shadow for VHF Systems</b>	<b>508</b>
			<b>Figure 12.49</b>	<b>TV Reception Impairment due to a Wind Turbine</b>	<b>510</b>
			<b>Figure 12.50</b>	<b>Layout 1 and Fresnel-Based Exclusion Zone</b>	<b>511</b>
			<b>Figure 12.51</b>	<b>Layout 2 and Fresnel-Based Exclusion Zone</b>	<b>511</b>

<b>Figure 12.52</b>	<b>Layout 3 and Fresnel-Based Exclusion Zone</b>	<b>512</b>
<b>Figure 12.53</b>	<b>Layout 4 and Fresnel-Based Exclusion Zone</b>	<b>512</b>
<b>Figure 12.54</b>	<b>Layout 5 and Fresnel-Based Exclusion Zone</b>	<b>513</b>
<b>Figure 12.55</b>	<b>Exclusion Zone for the Line-of-Sight Link</b>	<b>514</b>
<b>Figure 12.56</b>	<b>Example Returns for a 3.6 MW Layout (Large Ship Radar)</b>	<b>518</b>
<b>Figure 12.57</b>	<b>Example Returns for a 7 MW Layout (Large Ship Radar)</b>	<b>519</b>
<b>Figure 12.58</b>	<b>Cross-Range and Down-Range Around Each Turbine</b>	<b>519</b>
<b>Figure 12.59</b>	<b>Example Sidelobe Breakthrough for a 3.6 MW Layout (Large Ship Radar)</b>	<b>520</b>
<b>Figure 12.60</b>	<b>Illustration of Multi-Path Impacts</b>	<b>521</b>
<b>Figure 12.61</b>	<b>Effects on Power Returning to the Radar</b>	<b>523</b>
<b>Figure 12.62</b>	<b>Direct Returns from the Wind Farm (Sensitivity of -90 dBm)</b>	<b>524</b>
<b>Figure 12.63</b>	<b>Assumed Geometry for Racon Impact Assessment</b>	<b>525</b>
<b>Figure 12.64</b>	<b>Illustration of Using an Additional Sensor to Avoid Radar Shadowing Impacts</b>	<b>526</b>
<b>Figure 12.65</b>	<b>Power Received by Racon via Scattering</b>	<b>527</b>
<b>Figure 13.1</b>	<b>Suspended Sediment Plume Resulting from Aggregate Activities in Area 102</b>	<b>540</b>
<b>Figure 13.2</b>	<b>Change in Bed Thickness Resulting from Monopile Foundation Spill and Aggregate Extraction Releases</b>	<b>541</b>
<b>Figure 13.3</b>	<b>Locations of Other Wind Farms</b>	<b>549</b>
<b>Figure 13.4</b>	<b>Combined and Successive Impacts</b>	<b>550</b>
<b>Figure 13.5</b>	<b>Cumulative Tip ZVI: Humber Gateway and Out Newton</b>	<b>555</b>
<b>Figure 13.6</b>	<b>Cumulative Tip ZVI: Humber Gateway and Conisholme Fen</b>	<b>556</b>
<b>Figure 13.7</b>	<b>Cumulative Tip ZVI: Humber Gateway and Bamber's Farm</b>	<b>557</b>
<b>Figure 13.8</b>	<b>Cumulative Tip ZVI: Humber Gateway and Lincs</b>	<b>558</b>
<b>Figure 13.9</b>	<b>Cumulative Tip ZVI: Humber Gateway and Inner Dowsing</b>	<b>559</b>
<b>Figure 13.10</b>	<b>Cumulative Tip ZVI: Humber Gateway and Lynn</b>	<b>560</b>
<b>Figure 13.11</b>	<b>Cumulative Visibility for All Sites</b>	<b>561</b>
<b>Figure 13.12</b>	<b>Cumulative Viewpoint 3 - Panel 1 Withernsea Seafront</b>	<b>562</b>
<b>Figure 13.13</b>	<b>Cumulative Viewpoint 3 - Panel 2 Withernsea Seafront</b>	<b>563</b>
<b>Figure 13.14</b>	<b>Cumulative Viewpoint 13 - Panel 1 Ross Castle</b>	<b>564</b>
<b>Figure 13.15</b>	<b>Cumulative Viewpoint 13 - Panel 2 Ross Castle</b>	<b>565</b>
<b>Figure 13.16</b>	<b>Cumulative Viewpoint 13 - Panel 3 Ross Castle</b>	<b>566</b>
<b>Figure 13.17</b>	<b>Cumulative Viewpoint 20 - Panel 1 Saltfleetby</b>	<b>567</b>
<b>Figure 13.18</b>	<b>Cumulative Viewpoint 20 - Panel 2 Saltfleetby</b>	<b>568</b>
<b>Figure 13.19</b>	<b>Cumulative Viewpoint 20 - Panel 3 Saltfleetby</b>	<b>569</b>
<b>Figure 13.20</b>	<b>Cumulative Visibility Along Selected Routes</b>	<b>570</b>
<b>Figure 13.21</b>	<b>Proximity of Wind Farms to Humberside International Airport and Claxby</b>	<b>573</b>

