

10'-

59°N



The role of tidal asymmetry in characterizing the tidal energy resource of Orkney

Simon Neill*, Reza Hashemi, Matt Lewis Ocean Physics Group, School of Ocean Sciences, Bangor University, UK *s.p.neill@bangor.ac.uk

North Sea

FUJITSU

50'-



Cantick Head

LCRI LOW CARBON



EPSRC Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council

Presentation outline

apinsav

2.5

North Sea

3.5

- Tidal asymmetry theory
- Case study Orkney

10'-

- 3D ROMS modelling
- Simulated asymmetry
 - Current speed (and fit with theory)
 - Practical resource
 - Hub height
- Conclusions and further work

Pentland Firth

Brough Ness



Overtides and tidal symmetry

 M_2 = principal lunar semidiurnal constituent (represents rotation of the Earth with respect to the moon). T=12.42 h

 M_4 = shallow water overtide. T=6.21 h

North Sea

 $P = \frac{1}{2}\rho U^3$

Pingree and Griffiths (1979) | Neill et al. (2009)



Tidal asymmetry

Pund

Stronsay Finth

PP

0000





Phase relationship between M₂ and M₄



Wave and Tidal (Pentland Firth and Orkney Waters)















ROMS Model configuration

- Outer model boundary conditions interpolated from FES2012 – 1/16 degree resolution for 32 constituents
- Outer (regional) model: 1/120 x 1/234 degrees (~474m)
- Inner nested Orkney model: 1/750 x 1/1451 degrees (~75m)
- GLS turbulence model tuned to k-epsilon

10'-

10 vertical terrain following (sigma) levels

Cantick Head

Pentland Firth

 Considered only the M2 and S2 tidal constituents for this study

Brough Ness

M2 and S2 co-tidal charts from regional model



Peak (spring) tidal currents



Masked region

 $V_{spring} > 2 \text{ m/s}$ 25 < h < 50 m





Time series of current speed at 3 contrasting sites









Power generated by a hypothetical SeaGen S 1.2 MW device

SP

Stronsay Firth

۵



U

Velocity and power asymmetry at different hub heights



Conclusions



- 30% velocity asymmetry translates into 100% power asymmetry
- Our 3D model of an energetic tidal channel fits tidal asymmetry theory
- There is stronger asymmetry higher in the water column implications for technology selection
- Aggregating regions of flood- versus ebb-dominant asymmetry provides balanced power output between flood and ebb phases of the tidal cycle
- Other 3D variables output e.g. turbulent kinetic energy
- Impact of wind-driven currents on power asymmetry ~14% at the surface during a SE gale coinciding with neaps
- Wave/current interaction
- Sediment dynamics
- Lagrangian transport

Stuff in the paper Stuff we're working on

North Sea

Neill, S.P., Hashemi, M.R. & Lewis, M.J. (2014) The role of tidal asymmetry in characterizing the tidal energy resource of Orkney. *Renewable Energy* 68, 337-350